Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,203	MAJEED ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Hanley	1651
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dr. Prakash</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 December 2006	Time: <u>3:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none	ţ	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Dr. Prakash agreed to an Ex. amendment to reformat claim.		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)